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## Main goal of this chapter

## Theorem (Obvious) <br> An integer $n=\prod_{j} p_{j}^{v_{j}} \in \mathbb{N}$ is a square iff. $v_{j}$ is even for all $j$.

## Example $2020=2^{2} 5^{1} 101^{1}$ is not a square.

## Main goal of this chapter

## Theorem

An integer $n=\prod_{j} p_{j}^{v_{j}} \in \mathbb{N}$ is a sum of 2 squares iff. $v_{j}$ is even whenever $p_{j} \equiv-1 \bmod 4$.

## Example

$2019=3^{1} 673^{1}$ is not a sum of 2 squares.
$3 \times 2019=3^{2} 673^{1}=36^{2}+69^{2}$.
$2020=2^{2} 5^{1} 101^{1}=24^{2}+38^{2}$.
$3^{2}=3^{2}+0^{2}$.

## Main goal of this chapter

## Theorem

An integer $n=\prod_{j} p_{j}^{v_{j}} \in \mathbb{N}$ is a sum of 2 squares iff. $v_{j}$ is even whenever $p_{j} \equiv-1 \bmod 4$.

## Theorem (Legendre)

An integer $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is a sum of 3 squares iff. it is not of the form $4^{a}(8 b+7), a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 0}$.

So $n$ is not a sum of 3 squares iff. $v_{2}(n)$ is even and $\frac{n}{2^{v_{2}(n)}} \equiv-1 \bmod 8$.

## Example

$60=2^{2} \times 15$ is not a sum of 3 squares.
$30=2^{1} \times 15=5^{2}+2^{2}+1^{2} . \quad 44=2^{2} \times 11=6^{2}+2^{2}+2^{2}$.

## Main goal of this chapter

## Theorem

An integer $n=\prod_{j} p_{j}^{v_{j}} \in \mathbb{N}$ is a sum of 2 squares iff. $v_{j}$ is even whenever $p_{j} \equiv-1 \bmod 4$.

## Theorem (Legendre)

An integer $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is a sum of 3 squares iff. it is not of the form $4^{a}(8 b+7), a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 0}$.

## Theorem (Lagrange)

Every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is a sum of 4 squares.

## Example

$60=6^{2}+4^{2}+2^{2}+2^{2}$.

## Waring's problem (not examinable)

## Theorem (Hilbert, 1909)

For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is the sum of $m k^{t h}$ powers.

For each $k$, the smallest possible $m$ is denoted by $g(k)$.

## Theorem

- $g(2)=4$ (Lagrange, 1770)
- $g(3)=9$ (Wieferich - Kempner, ~1910)
- $g(4)=19$ (Balasubramanian - Dress - Deshouillers, 1986)
- $g(5)=37$ (Chen, 1964)
- ...


## Gaussian integers

## Gaussian integers

## Definition

The set of Gaussian integers is

$$
\mathbb{Z}[i]=\{a+b i \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Z}\} \subset \mathbb{C}
$$

where $i \in \mathbb{C}$ is such that $i^{2}=-1$.

## Proposition

$\mathbb{Z}[i]$ is a ring: whenever $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$, we also have

$$
\alpha+\beta, \alpha-\beta, \alpha \beta \in \mathbb{Z}[i]
$$

Proof.

$$
(a+b i)(c+d i)=(a c-b d)+(a d+b c) i .
$$

## Gaussian integers

## Definition

The set of Gaussian integers is

$$
\mathbb{Z}[i]=\{a+b i \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Z}\} \subset \mathbb{C}
$$

where $i \in \mathbb{C}$ is such that $i^{2}=-1$.

## Proposition

$\mathbb{Z}[i]$ is a ring: whenever $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$, we also have

$$
\alpha+\beta, \alpha-\beta, \alpha \beta \in \mathbb{Z}[i] .
$$

## Remark

$\mathbb{Z}[i]=\{P(i) \mid P(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]\}$, whence the notation $\mathbb{Z}[i]$.

## The norm

## Definition

The norm of $\alpha=a+b i \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$ is

$$
N(\alpha)=\alpha \bar{\alpha}=a^{2}+b^{2}
$$

## Remark

$N(\alpha) \geqslant 0$, with equality only if $\alpha=0$.
If $n \in \mathbb{Z} \subset \mathbb{Z}[i]$, then $N(n)=n^{2}$.

## Proposition

For all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}[i], N(\alpha \beta)=N(\alpha) N(\beta)$.

## Lemma

An integer $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is a sum of 2 squares iff. it is the norm of a Gaussian integer.

## Units

## Definition

A Gaussian integer $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$ is a unit if it is invertible in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$, meaning there exists $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$ such that $\alpha \beta=1$. The set of units of $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ is denoted by $\mathbb{Z}[i]^{\times}$.

## Proposition

Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$. Then $\alpha$ is a unit iff. $N(\alpha)=1$.

## Proof.

If $\alpha \beta=1$, then $1=N(1)=N(\alpha \beta)=N(\alpha) N(\beta)$.
Conversely, if $N(\alpha)=1$, then $\alpha \beta=1$ for $\beta=\bar{\alpha} \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$.

## Units

## Definition

A Gaussian integer $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$ is a unit if it is invertible in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$, meaning there exists $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$ such that $\alpha \beta=1$. The set of units of $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ is denoted by $\mathbb{Z}[i]^{\times}$.

## Proposition

Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$. Then $\alpha$ is a unit iff. $N(\alpha)=1$.

## Corollary

$$
\mathbb{Z}[i]^{\times}=\{1,-1, i,-i\} .
$$

## Remark

We could say that in $\mathbb{Z}$, the units are 1 and -1 ; hence the term "unit".

## Arithmetic with the Gaussian integers

## Euclidean division

## Theorem

Let $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$ with $\beta \neq 0$. There exists $\gamma, \rho \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$ such that

$$
\alpha=\beta \gamma+\rho \quad \text { and } \quad N(\rho)<N(\beta) .
$$

## Euclidean division

## Proof.

Compute $\alpha / \beta=x+y i \in \mathbb{C}$. Let $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$
|x-m| \leqslant \frac{1}{2} \quad \text { and } \quad|y-n| \leqslant \frac{1}{2}
$$

and set $\gamma=m+n i, \rho=\alpha-\beta \gamma$. Then $\gamma, \rho \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$, and $\alpha=\beta \gamma+\rho$.
Extend the norm to all of $\mathbb{C}$ by $N(\alpha)=\alpha \bar{\alpha}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{N(\rho)}{N(\beta)} & =\frac{N(\alpha-\beta \gamma)}{N(\beta)}=N\left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}-\gamma\right)=N((x+y i)-(m+n i)) \\
& =(x-m)^{2}+(y-n)^{2} \leqslant\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2}=\frac{1}{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

so $N(\rho) \leqslant \frac{1}{2} N(\beta)<N(\beta)$.

## Euclidean division

## Theorem

Let $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$ with $\beta \neq 0$. There exists $\gamma, \rho \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$ such that

$$
\alpha=\beta \gamma+\rho \quad \text { and } \quad N(\rho)<N(\beta) .
$$

## Example

Let $\alpha=8+i, \beta=2+3 i$. Then

$$
\frac{\alpha}{\beta}=\frac{8+i}{2+3 i}=\frac{(8+i)(2-3 i)}{(2+3 i)(2-3 i)}=\frac{19}{13}-\frac{22}{13} i \approx 1-2 i
$$

so we set $\gamma=1-2 i$ and $\rho=\alpha-\beta \gamma=2 i$.
We can check that $N(\rho)=4<N(\beta)=13$.

## Remark

In general, the pair $(\gamma, \rho)$ is not unique. But it will not matter for what we have in mind!

## Consequences of Euclidean division: gcd

## Definition

Let $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$. We say that $\alpha \mid \beta$ if there exists $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$ such that $\beta=\alpha \gamma$.

## Lemma (Important)

For all $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$, we have $\alpha \mid N(\alpha)$.
If $\alpha \mid \beta$ in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$, then $N(\alpha) \mid N(\beta)$ in $\mathbb{Z}$.

## Consequences of Euclidean division: gcd

## Definition

We say that $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$ are associate if $\alpha \mid \beta$ and $\beta \mid \alpha$.

## Lemma

$\alpha, \beta$ are associate $\Longleftrightarrow \beta=v \alpha$ for some $v \in \mathbb{Z}[i]^{\times}$.

## Proof.

$\Leftarrow:$ If $\beta=v \alpha$, then $\alpha \mid \beta$, and also $\alpha=v^{-1} \beta$ so $\beta \mid \alpha$.
$\Rightarrow: \beta=\xi \alpha$ and $\alpha=\eta \beta$ for some $\xi, \eta \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$, so $\alpha=\xi \eta \alpha$.
If $\alpha \neq 0$ then $\xi \eta=1$ so $\xi, \eta \in \mathbb{Z}[i]^{\times}$.
If $\alpha=0$ then $\beta=\xi \alpha=0$ so also OK.

## Consequences of Euclidean division: gcd

## Definition

Let $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$. We say that $\gamma$ is a gcd of $\alpha, \beta$ if for all $\delta \in \mathbb{Z}[i], \quad \delta|\gamma \Longleftrightarrow \delta| \alpha$ and $\delta \mid \beta$.

Alternatively, a gcd is a common divisor whose norm is as large as possible.

## Theorem

Gcd's exist, can be found by the Euclidean algorithm, and are unique up to multiplication by units.

## Consequences of Euclidean division: gcd

## Theorem

Gcd's exist, can be found by the Euclidean algorithm, and are unique up to multiplication by units.

## Proof.

If $\alpha=\beta \gamma+\rho$, then $\operatorname{Div}(\alpha, \beta)=\operatorname{Div}(\beta, \rho) \rightsquigarrow G c d$ 's exist and
can be found by Euclidean algorithm.
Uniqueness: suppose $\alpha, \beta$ are not both 0 , and let $\gamma, \gamma^{\prime}$ be two gcd's. Then $\gamma \mid \gamma^{\prime}$ and $\gamma^{\prime} \mid \gamma$.

## Corollary

Given $\alpha, \beta$, the elements of $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ of the form $\alpha \xi+\beta \eta$ $(\xi, \eta \in \mathbb{Z}[i])$ are exactly the multiples of $\operatorname{gcd}(\alpha, \beta)$.

Gauss's lemma: if $\alpha \mid \beta \gamma$ and $\operatorname{gcd}(\alpha, \beta)=1$, then $\alpha \mid \gamma$.

## Consequences of Euclidean division: factorisation

## Definition (Gaussian primes)

An element $\pi \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$ is irreducible if $\pi \notin \mathbb{Z}[i]^{\times}$and whenever $\pi=\alpha \beta$, then one of $\alpha, \beta$ is a unit.

## Example

If $N(\alpha)$ is a prime number, then $\alpha$ is irreducible. Indeed, if $\alpha=\beta \gamma$, then $N(\alpha)=N(\beta) N(\gamma)$.
© The converse is not true!

## Consequences of Euclidean division: factorisation

## Theorem

Every nonzero $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$ may be factored as

$$
\alpha=v \pi_{1} \cdots \pi_{r}
$$

with $v \in \mathbb{Z}[i]^{\times}$and the $\pi_{j}$ irreducible.
If $\alpha=v^{\prime} \pi_{1}^{\prime} \cdots \pi_{s}^{\prime}$, then $r=s$ and each $\pi_{j}^{\prime}$ is associate to a $\pi_{k}$.

## Proof.

Euclid's lemma holds in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$.

## Example

$2=(-i)(1+i)^{2}=i(1-i)^{2}$.
$1 \pm i$ is irreducible since it has norm 2 which is prime. These are the same factorisations since $1+i=i(1-i)$.

## Classification of the Gaussian primes

## Decomposition of prime numbers in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$

## Theorem

Let $p \in \mathbb{N}$ be prime.

- (Split case) If $p \equiv+1 \bmod 4$, then $p=\pi \bar{\pi}$ for some irreducible $\pi \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$ of norm $p$, and $\pi, \bar{\pi}$ are not associate.
- (Inert case) If $p \equiv-1 \bmod 4$, then $p$ remains irreducible in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$.
- (Special case) $2=(1+i)(1-i)=(-i)(1+i)^{2}$.


## Example

$3 \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$ is an irreducible whose norm $N(3)=3^{2}$ is composite.
$5=(2+i)(2-i)$.

## Decomposition of prime numbers in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$

## Lemma

Let $p \in \mathbb{N}$ be prime, and suppose $p$ becomes reducible in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$. Then $p$ factors as $p=\pi \bar{\pi}$, where $\pi \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$ is irreducible of norm $p$; besides $\pi=a+b i$ is such that $a, b$ are coprime in $\mathbb{Z}$.

## Lemma

If $p \equiv-1 \bmod 4$, then $p$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$.

## Lemma

If $p \equiv+1 \bmod 4$, then $p$ splits in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$.

## Lemma

Suppose $p=\pi \bar{\pi}$. If $\bar{\pi}$ and $\pi$ are associate, then $p=2$.

## Decomposition of prime numbers in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$

## Lemma

Let $p \in \mathbb{N}$ be prime, and suppose $p$ becomes reducible in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$. Then $p$ factors as $p=\pi \bar{\pi}$, where $\pi \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$ is irreducible of norm $p$; besides $\pi=a+b i$ is such that $a, b$ are coprime in $\mathbb{Z}$.

## Proof.

We have $p=v \pi_{1} \cdots \pi_{r}$ where $r \geqslant 2$. Then

$$
p^{2}=N(p)=N(v) N\left(\pi_{1}\right) \cdots N\left(\pi_{r}\right)
$$

so $r=2$ and $N\left(\pi_{1}\right)=N\left(\pi_{2}\right)=p$. Thus $\pi_{1} \overline{\pi_{1}}=p$.
Write $\pi_{1}=a+b i, a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$. If $d \mid a, b$, then $d \mid \pi_{1}$,
so $d^{2}=N(d) \mid N\left(\pi_{1}\right)=p$, so $d= \pm 1$.

## Decomposition of prime numbers in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$

## Lemma

If $p \equiv-1 \bmod 4$, then $p$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$.

## Proof.

Suppose $p$ becomes reducible in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$. Then $p=\pi \bar{\pi}$, where $\pi=a+b i$ is such that $a^{2}+b^{2}=p$ and $\operatorname{gcd}(a, b)=1$.

We cannot have both $p \mid a$ and $p \mid b$; WLOG $p \nmid a$.
Then $a \in(\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z})^{\times}$, so $c=b / a \in \mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z}$ satisfies $c^{2}+1=0$, whence $\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)=+1$; contradiction since $p \equiv-1 \bmod 4$.

## Decomposition of prime numbers in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$

## Lemma

If $p \equiv+1 \bmod 4$, then $p$ splits in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$.

## Proof.

Since $p \equiv 1 \bmod 4$, we have $\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)=+1$, so there exists $c \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $c^{2}+1=k p$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.
Then $k p=(c+i)(c-i)$, so $p \mid(c+i)(c-i)$ in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$.
If $p$ were irreducible, then Euclid's lemma would force $p \mid(c \pm i)$; then $\frac{c}{p} \pm \frac{1}{p} i \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$, absurd.

## Decomposition of prime numbers in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$

## Lemma

Suppose $p=\pi \bar{\pi}$. If $\bar{\pi}$ and $\pi$ are associate, then $p=2$.

## Proof.

Write $\pi=a+b i$; then $\operatorname{gcd}(a, b)=1$ so $a u+b v=1$ for some $u, v \in \mathbb{Z}$.
As $\pi \mid(\pi+\bar{\pi})=2 a$ and $\pi \mid-i(\pi-\bar{\pi})=2 b$, we have

$$
\pi \mid(2 a u+2 b v)=2 .
$$

Therefore $p=N(\pi) \mid N(2)=4$.

## Classification of Gaussian primes

## Proposition

Up to associates, we have seen all the irreducibles of $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ in the previous theorem.

## Proof.

Let $\pi \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$ be irreducible. Then $\pi \mid N(\pi) \in \mathbb{N}$ which is a product of prime numbers. By Euclid's lemma, $\pi$ divides one of these prime numbers.

## Classification of Gaussian primes

## Proposition

Up to associates, we have seen all the irreducibles of $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ in the previous theorem.

## Corollary

Let $\pi \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$ be irreducible. Then either

- $N(\pi)=2$, and then $\pi$ is associate to $1+i$, or
- $N(\pi)$ is a prime $p \equiv+1 \bmod 4$, and $\pi$ is associate to exactly one of $\pi^{\prime}$ and $\overline{\pi^{\prime}}$, where $p=\pi^{\prime} \overline{\pi^{\prime}}$, or
- $N(\pi)=q^{2}$ where $q \equiv-1 \bmod 4$ is prime, and $\pi$ is associate to $q$.


## Practical factoring in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$

## Example (Factor $\alpha=27+39 i$ )

We know that $\alpha=v \pi_{1} \cdots \pi_{r}$ with $v \in \mathbb{Z}[i]^{\times}$and the $\pi_{j}$ irreducible. Besides, $\alpha \mid N(\alpha)=27^{2}+39^{2}=2250=2 \times 3^{2} \times 5^{3}$.
So $\alpha=v \pi_{2} \pi_{3^{2}} \pi_{5} \pi_{5}^{\prime} \pi_{5}^{\prime \prime}$ where $N\left(\pi_{n}\right)=n$.
We already know that we can take $\pi_{2}=1+i$ and $\pi_{3^{2}}=3$.
We have $5=\pi \bar{\pi}, \pi=2+i$; so each of $\pi_{5}, \pi_{5}^{\prime}, \pi_{5}^{\prime \prime}$ may be taken to be exactly one of $2+i, 2-i$.
If some were $2+i$ and some were $2-i$, then we would have $5=(2+i)(2-i) \mid \alpha$, absurd. So it's either all $2+i$ or all $2-i$.
We compute $\alpha /(2+i)=\frac{93}{5}+\frac{51}{5} \notin \mathbb{Z}[i]$ (or $\alpha /(2-i)=3+21 i \in \mathbb{Z}[i])$, so it's $2-i$.
Finally $v=\frac{\alpha}{(1+i) 3(2-i)^{3}}=i$, whence the complete factorisation

$$
\alpha=i(1+i) 3(2-i)^{3} .
$$

## Conclusion and complements

## Sums of 2 squares

## Theorem

An integer $n=\prod_{j} p_{j}^{v_{j}} \in \mathbb{N}$ is a sum of 2 squares iff. $v_{j}$ is even whenever $p_{j} \equiv-1 \bmod 4$.

## Proof.

$\Rightarrow$ : If $n$ is a sum of 2 squares, then $n=N(\alpha)$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$. Factor $\alpha=v \pi_{1} \cdots \pi_{r}$. Then we have $n=N(\alpha)=N\left(\pi_{1}\right) \cdots N\left(\pi_{r}\right)$, and for each $j, N\left(\pi_{j}\right)$ is either 2 , or $p \equiv+1 \bmod 4$, or $q^{2}$ where $q \equiv-1 \bmod 4$.
So $v_{q}(n)$ must be even for each $q \equiv-1 \bmod 4$.
$\Leftarrow$ : Suppose $n=2^{a} \prod_{p_{j}} p_{j}^{b_{j}} \prod_{q_{j}} q_{j}^{2 c_{j}}$. Then letting $p_{j} \equiv+1 \bmod 4 \quad q_{j} \equiv-1 \bmod 4$

$$
\alpha=(1+i)^{a} \prod_{p_{j} \equiv+1 \bmod 4} \pi_{j}^{b_{j}} \prod_{q_{j} \equiv-1 \bmod 4} q_{j}^{c_{j}} \text { where } p_{j}=\pi_{j} \overline{\pi_{j}},
$$

we have $N(\alpha)=n$.

## Sums of 2 squares

## Theorem

An integer $n=\prod_{j} p_{j}^{v_{j}} \in \mathbb{N}$ is a sum of 2 squares iff. $v_{j}$ is even whenever $p_{j} \equiv-1 \bmod 4$.

## Remark

Let $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$. If both $m$ and $n$ are sums of 2 squares, then so is $m n$.

Proof 1.

$$
\left(a^{2}+b^{2}\right)\left(A^{2}+B^{2}\right)=(a A-b B)^{2}+(a B+b A)^{2}
$$

Proof 2.

$$
N(\alpha) N(\beta)=N(\alpha \beta)
$$

## Algebraic number theory (not examinable)

Instead of $\mathbb{Z}[i]$, we could have introduced

$$
\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]=\{a+b \sqrt{2} \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Z}\}
$$

Then, letting $N(a+b \sqrt{2})=(a+b \sqrt{2})(a-b \sqrt{2})=a^{2}-2 b^{2}$, studying the decomposition of prime numbers in $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]$ would give information on which integers are of the form $a^{2}-2 b^{2}$. However, beware that there is not always a Euclidean division, and thus not always unique factorisation!

## Counter-example

In $\mathbb{Z}[i \sqrt{5}]=\{a+b i \sqrt{5} \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Z}\}$, we have

$$
6=2 \times 3=(1+i \sqrt{5})(1-i \sqrt{5})
$$

and all 4 factors are irreducible, yet non-associate. $\rightsquigarrow$ Integers of the form $a^{2}+5 b^{2}$ are more difficult to characterise!

## Sums of 4 squares (not examinable)

Introduce the quaternionic order

$$
\mathscr{O}=\{a+b l+c J+d K \mid a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}\}
$$

$I J=-J I=K, J K=-K J=I, K I=-I K=J, I^{2}=J^{2}=K^{2}=-1$.
Given $\alpha=a+b l+c J+d K \in \mathscr{O}$, define $\bar{\alpha}=a-b l-c J-d K$ and

$$
N(\alpha)=\alpha \bar{\alpha}=a^{2}+b^{2}+c^{2}+d^{2}
$$

Then we have $N(\alpha \beta)=N(\alpha) N(\beta)$.
Possible interpretation:

$$
I=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
i & 0 \\
0 & -i
\end{array}\right), J=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
-1 & 0
\end{array}\right), K=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & i \\
i & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad N(\alpha)=\operatorname{det} \alpha
$$

We find that every prime $p \in \mathbb{N}$ splits in $\mathscr{O}$.
$\rightsquigarrow$ Every integer is a sum of 4 squares.

## Sums of 4 squares (not examinable)

## Remark

Let $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$. If both $m$ and $n$ are sums of 4 squares, then so is $m n$.

## Proof 1.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(a^{2}+b^{2}+c^{2}+d^{2}\right)\left(A^{2}+B^{2}+C^{2}+D^{2}\right)= \\
(a A-b B-c C-d D)^{2}+(a B+b A+c D-d C)^{2} \\
+(a C-b D+c A+d B)^{2}+(a D+b C-c D+d A)^{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

Proof 2.

$$
N(\alpha) N(\beta)=N(\alpha \beta)
$$

## Sums of 3 squares

The set of sums of 3 squares is not closed under multiplication!
Counter-example
$2=1^{2}+1^{2}+0^{2}$, and $14=3^{2}+2^{2}+1^{2}$; and yet

$$
2 \times 14=28=4 \times 7 \neq x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2} .
$$

This explains why proofs of the theorem for 3 squares are less nice.

